

ECASBA MEMBERSHIP SURVEY Results: PoUS arrangements around adoption of electronic system

Introduction to Participants:

ECASBA is committed to supporting its members in adapting to evolving regulatory and technological frameworks.

This survey was conducted to assess the current level of electronic system adoption and PoUS-related procedures among ECASBA members. The results provide a foundation for ongoing efforts to promote digitalisation and regulatory harmonisation across international port operations.



Questions and Answers:

1. Current State of PoUS Implementation:

To what extent is your country prepared for the PoUS system implementation scheduled for 1/3/2024? Please consider factors such as system readiness, availability.

| COUNTRY | National Association / Associate Member | Current State of PoUS Implementation: To what extent is your country prepared for the PoUS system implementation scheduled for 1/3/2024? Please consider factors such as system readiness, availability |
|---------|--|--|
| Croatia | ASBAC | Slightly behind. |
| Cyprus | Cyprus Shipping Association | Behind, only received an informative circular today from our local Customs |
| Cyprus | Cyprus Shipping Association | Nearly ready. We have already witnessed an issue arising from the implementation of the PoUS system. Our members have been asked by Customs to include the MRN code for each and every T2L form on the export manifest (For European Consignments) on the export manifest, which increases our workload significantly. |
| Denmark | Danish Shipbrokers and Port Operators | The system is said to be ready 1. March 2024, but we have not been able to make any tests before the GoLive. |
| Finland | Shipbrokers Finland | Behind. Well behind with training material, it was next to nothing, very poorly arranged. |
| Finland | OOCL (Finland) Ltd Oy | Behind- Finnish customs have informed us (carrier) 29.2.24 about the PoUS- only one day's notice. |
| Finland | | Nearly ready. |



| Finland | Member of Finnish Shipbrokers Association | Behind. The system was not ready on the 1st of March; there was (and still is) a lack of information concerning the PoUS, and training materials were delivered late. On the 29th morning, operators had not even seen the system yet. The system is working now, but gives a lot of error codes (reason not known). Poorly handled, and it caused a lot of extra work for operators. |
|-------------|---|--|
| Finland | POUS | Ready. |
| France | Agents Maritimes Et Consignataires de France - AMCF | Ready |
| Netherlands | VNC | Behind. |
| Sweden | The Swedish Shipbrokers Association | We are ready in Sweden. |

2. What specific challenges have your private sector and customs authority encountered with the PoUS system?

| COUNTRY | National Association / Associate Member | What specific challenges have your private sector and customs authority encountered with the PoUS system? |
|---------|--|---|
| Croatia | ASBAC | Any challenges are yet to be determined in the upcoming weeks since the testing phase has just started. |
| Cyprus | Cyprus Shipping Association | Harmonisation with the implementation of the new system in conjunction with the prevailing system (hard copy documentation) and creation of backup systems. |



| Cyprus | Cyprus Shipping Association | Need to be assessed further in the upcoming months. |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Denmark | Danish Shipbrokers and Port Operators | Will have to wait for GoLive to gain experience in using the system. |
| | | Not allowed to use the old system when PoUS was not working for several days. Needed |
| | | replies to questions asked in the training session, but so far, no replies have been received. |
| Finland | Shipbrokers Finland | Seems that Finnish customs do not know how the system works. |
| | | Short notice time to handle. No instructions given from FIM customs on how to handle the |
| Finland | OOCL (Finland) Ltd Oy | POUS declaration. |
| Finland | | Lack of information / too late. |
| | Member of Finnish Shipbrokers | Too tight a schedule from the introduction to use; a lack of information. The system was not |
| Finland | Association | available on 1st March, and no fallback procedure was announced. |
| | | Information regarding how to use Pous came late (29.2), only one day before the |
| Finland | POUS | implementation. |
| | Agents Maritimes Et Consignataires de | |
| France | France - AMCF | A few technical difficulties were encountered and reported to the commission for adjustment. |
| | | Information flow from the EU and Dutch Customs was too late and incomplete. A lot of |
| Netherlands | VNC | questions could not be answered in time. |
| | | It is difficult for all of us, the private sector and the customs authority, to understand our |
| Sweden | The Swedish Shipbrokers Association | different roles. |



March 2024

3. Are there any solutions, such as the development of an API/interface or enhanced testing capabilities, that you believe would make the implementation more feasible?

| COUNTRY | National Association / Associate Member | Are there any solutions, such as the development of an API/interface or enhanced testing capabilities, that you believe would make the implementation more feasible? |
|---------|--|---|
| Croatia | ASBAC | Yes |
| Cyprus | Cyprus Shipping Association | Issuance of directives and instructions to the users and third-party software providers, and arrangement of seminars/webinars from the Customs Dept. |
| Cyprus | Cyprus Shipping Association | No solutions provided. |
| Denmark | Danish Shipbrokers and Port Operators | N/a |
| Finland | Shipbrokers Finland | Need to be so that the authorities have all details fully available and know the system back and forth before it will be taken into use for the final users. |
| Finland | OOCL (Finland) Ltd Oy | We should have given more time to arrange testing and create the process internally on the carrier's side. One day's pre-notice is not adequate. |
| Finland | | Earlier, clearer, and more information regarding the whole process. |
| Finland | Member of Finnish Shipbrokers Association | Message exchange between Customs and the economic operator should be possible. Separate signing to the EU system is not a modern way to act. |
| Finland | POUS | Can not answer at this time. |
| France | Agents Maritimes Et Consignataires de France - AMCF | France, having chosen to use the PoUS tool deployed by the EU, no interface is planned as the use of this tool replaces a procedure that is currently paper based, therefore, without IT interconnection. |



| Netherlands | VNC | Not known at this moment. |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Sweden | The Swedish Shipbrokers Association | Enhanced testing capabilities had been appreciated. Now, everything happened as a big bang. |

4. What is your Country's position on PoUS Implementation Postponement? Has your customs authority formally supported or considered supporting a request to postpone the PoUS implementation date??

| COUNTRY | National Association / Associate Member | What is your Country's position on PoUS Implementation Postponement? Has your customs authority formally supported or considered supporting a request to postpone the PoUS implementation date? |
|---------|--|---|
| Croatia | ASBAC | No |
| Cyprus | Cyprus Shipping Association | No |
| Cyprus | Cyprus Shipping Association | No |
| Denmark | Danish Shipbrokers and Port Operators | No, they have informed that GoLive is 1. March 2024. |
| Finland | Shipbrokers Finland | No |
| Finland | OOCL (Finland) Ltd Oy | No |
| Finland | | No |
| | Member of Finnish Shipbrokers | |
| Finland | Association | No |
| Finland | POUS | No |



| France | Agents Maritimes Et Consignataires de France - AMCF | No |
|-------------|---|--|
| Netherlands | VNC | We do not know if they have requested for derogation, but they have considered it (not officially confirmed to us) |
| Sweden | The Swedish Shipbrokers Association | No, there is no need. |

5. If so, please detail any actions taken or planned to advocate for this postponement at the EU level

| COUNTRY | National Association / Associate Member | If so, please detail any actions taken or planned to advocate for this postponement at the EU level. |
|---------|--|--|
| Croatia | ASBAC | N/a |
| Cyprus | Cyprus Shipping Association | No postponement actions currently. |
| Cyprus | Cyprus Shipping Association | No postponement suggested as above. |
| Denmark | Danish Shipbrokers and Port Operators | N/a |
| Finland | Shipbrokers Finland | - |
| Finland | OOCL (Finland) Ltd Oy | Not that we are aware of. |
| Finland | | N/a |



| | Member of Finnish Shipbrokers | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Finland | Association | - |
| Finland | POUS | Nothing I have heard of. |
| | Agents Maritimes Et Consignataires de | |
| France | France - AMCF | No action. |
| Netherlands | VNC | Not known. |
| Sweden | The Swedish Shipbrokers Association | - |